

The Case Against Evolution

First we all have to admit there is **no** conclusive evidence of evolution occurring at present.

Secondly, we aim to show there is **no** conclusive evidence that evolution has occurred in the past.

Prior to human historical records, it is manifestly impossible to prove scientifically whether evolution took place or not.

The pre-history of the earth and its inhabitants **cannot** be subjected to scientific experimentation, the events are non-reproducible and therefore **not** legitimately subject to analysis by means of the so-called "*scientific method*."

We must, therefore, either start with the assumption that God is the Creator and Author of history, or else with the assumption that there is no God and that the history of the earth, its inhabitants and the universe is to be explained without God.

Logically, if God does exist, and if He is the Creator and Sustainer of history, then it is foolhardy to attempt to understand history apart from His revealed Word.

In other words, the only way we can know with certainty, the time of creation, the order of creation, the means of creation, the method of creation, and anything else concerned with pre-historical events, is for God to tell us these things.

He was there and we were not.

Therefore, in every case, we believe that the only logical and legitimate method of reasoning in this sphere of pre-history is the deductive method.

We cannot use the inductive method, attempting to build up a historical record on the basis of bits of evidence found in this present world.

In doing this we are in reality using the deductive method but starting with the atheistic assumption that God has **not** already spoken concerning these things.

We therefore must simply start with the assumption that God exists and is the Creator and Sustainer of the universe.

The Christian Bible claims in numberless ways to be the revelation of the Creator and Sustainer of this universe, and has validated its claims in equally innumerable ways.

Therefore, in any historical or scientific argumentation, this is where we start.

With respect to the possibility of evolution occurring in the present or in the past, we must first of all define clearly what is meant by evolution.

Quotation of Sir Julian Huxley, showing that the definition of evolution is more than a kind of change:

"Evolution is a one-way process, irreversible in time, producing apparent novelties and greater variety, and leading to higher degrees of organisation, more differentiated, more complex, but at the same time more integrated."

This statement was intended to include both inorganic and organic evolution.

Is there evidence today that things are at this time progressing to higher and higher levels of organisation and complexity?

The answer, both scientifically and Biblically, is a resounding **No**.

As far as the Christian Bible is concerned, all the complexity we see around and in us is all part of the original creation.

Genesis 2:1 [NIV] *“Thus the heavens and the earth **were completed** in all their vast array.”*

Physical creation is **no** longer taking place.

Exodus 20:11 [NIV] *“For **in six days the LORD made the heavens and the earth, the sea, and all that is in them**, but he rested on the seventh day. Therefore the LORD blessed the Sabbath day and made it holy.”*

Again all the physical creation ended by sunset on the sixth day when the seventh day began.

John 5:17 [NIV] *“Jesus said to them, **“My Father is always at his work to this very day, and I, too, am working.”***

God the Father and Jesus Christ are both still creating but **not** a physical creation but a spiritual creation, which evolutionists do **not** comprehend.

At the even time of the sixth day God created the first humans.

All the physical re-creation of the surface of the earth was done with this in God’s mind.

Genesis 1:26 [NIV] *“Then God said, **“Let us make man in our image, in our likeness, and let them rule over the fish of the sea and the birds of the air, over the livestock, over all the earth, and over all the creatures that move along the ground.”***

Human beings were to be created in God’s image and likeness. Two different types of creation.

Genesis 1:27 [NIV] *“So God created man in his own **image**, in the **image** of God he created him; male and female he created them.”*

Human beings were created in God’s image, both male and female, but **note** no mention of likeness.

Two different Hebrew words are used in **verse 26**, one referring to a physical creation but the other a spiritual creation.

“*Image*” – Hebrew “*tselem*” – a shadow or resemblance. Humans are recognisable as in God’s image as much as we can recognise a human or animal by their shadow, but we are **not** the real thing, **not** God.

“*Likeness*” – Hebrew “*demuwth*” – manner, model, similitude, son, pattern – this is referring to character which is spiritual **not** physical.

God is now preserving every physical thing that He created in six days as a perfect environment for the **spiritual creation** of humans to be accomplished.

The law of conservation is the best proved law of all science.

The universal conservation law is that of energy conservation, especially when broadened to include possible mass-energy conservations.

Energy, defined as “*capacity for doing work*” actually includes everything in the physical universe.

Because of mass-energy equivalence, all forms of matter are, in the real sense, merely forms of energy.

Quote from 'Man and Energy' A.R. Ubbelonde.

"The first law of thermodynamics is merely another name for the law of conservation of energy ... this law states that energy can be transformed in various ways [mechanical, electrical, electro-mechanical, chemical, light, heat, sound, etc.] but can neither be created or destroyed."

All processes in the universe, physical, geological, biological, etc. involve the transformation of energy.

In fact, all physical reality is merely the outworking of the energies of the universe.

This law squarely contradicts, and therefore disproves, the evolutionary hypothesis, which maintains that "creation" is increasing organisation and integration and development, and is continually taking place in the present.

What about the second law of thermodynamics, which is equally universal and proved beyond any scientific doubt whatsoever?

The second law of thermodynamics states:-

"In all energy transformations there is a tendency for some of the energy to be transformed into non-reversible heat energy."

That is, the availability of the energy of the system or process for the performance of work is reduced.

It "runs down" or "wears out", the term used for this is entropy.

Even today, it is obvious that most people, especially most evolutionists, have very little understanding of the tremendous implications of the second law of thermodynamics.

Quote from 'Entropy Consumption and Values in Physical Science' American Scientist, September 1959:

"Thermodynamics is a physical theory of great generality impinging on practically every phase of human experience. It may be called the description of the behaviour of matter in equilibrium and of the changes from one equilibrium state to another."

There is **no** real question, either, that the two laws of thermodynamics apply to biological systems as well as physical systems.

In fact, practically all evolutionary biologists today insist that all biological processes are really only physio-chemical processes.

It follows that the two laws of thermodynamics must be applied to biological processes, with the tendency to produce irrecoverable heat energy, that is entropy.

It is hardly possible to conceive two more completely opposite principles than this principle of entropy increase and the principle of the theory of evolution, each is precisely the converse of the other.

Of course, it is quite possible for entropy to decrease in an open system. In fact, every instance of a local increase in organisation, the growth of a child, the development of a crystal, the raising of a building – is an example of the influx of an excess of "energy" or "information" into the particular open system, so that its innate tendency toward decay is **temporarily** offset.

But that child, or crystal, or building, or anything else, will eventually start to grow old or wear out or decay, entropy sets in.

Remember that evolution, in the minds of its proponents, is **not** a localised phenomenon anyway, but rather a universal law, explaining alike the development of a species in biology, elements in chemistry, and suns in astronomy!

As Huxley insists: *“The whole of reality is evolution.”*

It is hard to believe that the leaders in evolutionary thought, not to mention their hosts of uncritical followers, have never really confronted this gross contradiction between their theory of evolution and the second law of thermodynamics.

Evolution as a universal principle is not only unproved but statistically almost impossible.

The second law of thermodynamics plainly and relentlessly insists that there is a universal tendency towards decay and disorder, **not** growth and development.

This is not at all surprising to the Christian, for this is what is taught in the Word of God, the Christian Bible.

Psalms 102:25-26 [NIV] *“In the beginning you laid the foundations of the **earth**, and the **heavens** are the work of your hands. **They will perish**, but you remain; **they will all wear out** like a garment. Like clothing you will change them and **they will be discarded.**”*

Isaiah 51:6 [NIV] *“Lift up your eyes to the heavens, look at the earth beneath; the heavens will vanish like smoke, **the earth will wear out like a garment and its inhabitants die like flies**. But my salvation will last for ever, my righteousness will never fail.”*

Ecclesiastes 3:20 [NIV] *“All go to the same place; **all come from dust, and to dust all return.**”*

Matthew 24:35 [NIV] *“**Heaven and earth will pass away**, but my words will never pass away.”*

Not only does the Christian Bible tell us the fact of decay in creation, but it also gives us the explanation for it, something that the laws of thermodynamics has **not** been able to do.

The universal validity of the second law of thermodynamics is demonstrated, but no-one knows why it is true.

The Christian Bible explanation involves a curse that God put on this world and its whole system, because of Adam’s rebellious sin.

Genesis 1:31 [NIV] *“**God saw all that he had made, and it was very good**. And there was evening, and there was morning, the sixth day.”*

The re-creation of the surface of the earth was perfect in every way.

Genesis 3:17-19 [NIV] *“To Adam he said, “**Because you listened to your wife and ate from the tree about which I commanded you, ‘You must not eat of it,’ ‘Cursed is the ground because of you; through painful toil you will eat of it all the days of your life. It will produce thorns and thistles for you, and you will eat the plants of the field. By the sweat of your brow you will eat your food until you return to the ground, since from it you were taken; for dust you are and to dust you will return.**”*

Note the details of this curse.

Sorrow – pain [symbolised by the thorns and thistles] – crying [symbolised by sweat of face] – death [decay of body back to dust].

The earth will finally be victorious over human beings and regain her “dust”.

This is the real explanation for the relentless increase of entropy in the world.

A quotation of physicist R.B. Lindsay, concerning the second law of thermodynamics:

“All experience points to the fact that every living organism eventually dies. This is a process in which the highly developed order of the organism is reduced to a random and disorderly collection of molecules. We are constantly reminded that we are dust and to dust we ultimately return.”

Science cannot agree upon a definition of ageing but suggest the main cause is found in somatic mutations.

These are sudden changes in the structure of the somatic cells brought about by radiation or other mutagens affecting the organs and general body cell structure.

The Christian Bible also reveals that this universal decay will **not** last for ever.

The so-called “heat death”, anticipated by scientists, when all free energy has been utilised and converted into non-available heat energy, will never be reached.

Romans 8:19-21 [NIV] *“The creation waits in eager expectation for the sons of God to be revealed. For the creation was subjected to frustration, not by its own choice, but by the will of the one who subjected it, in hope that **the creation itself will be liberated from its bondage to decay** and brought into the glorious freedom of the children of God.”*

2 Peter 3:13 [NIV] *“But in keeping with his promise we are looking forward to **a new heaven and a new earth, the home of righteousness.**”*

This revealing of the redeemed children of God, the deliverance of the physical creation, the new heavens and the new earth, are all made possible by the tremendous fact of Jesus Christ, who has redeemed the world from sin and death by Himself dying for the sins of the whole world. The sins that cause creation to be in the process of decay and under a curse.

1 John 2:1-2 [NIV] *“My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defence, **Jesus Christ, the Righteous One. He is the atoning sacrifice for our sins, and not only for ours but also for the sins of the whole world.**”*

Jesus Christ the Son of God, became the Son of Man, taking on the form of a human being to redeem all that He had in the first place created.

Being the Creator God, Jesus’ death was a sacrifice that was more than enough to atone for and redeeming the world, dying because of the rebellious sins of humanity against God.

Galatians 3:13 [NIV] *“**Christ redeemed us from the curse of the law by becoming a curse for us, for it is written: “Cursed is everyone who is hung on a tree.”**”*

Jesus Christ took on to Himself the curse that Adam and Eve’s sin caused to be placed on the earth.

This is the Good News that Jesus Christ brought from God the Father and that Christians have proclaimed from the time of Christ’s death and resurrection back to eternal life.

Until Jesus Christ returns to take over the rule of this world, the curse will remain and the decay will continue.

Physical systems, left to themselves, run down and stop.

Biological organisms grow old and die. Societies isolated from uplifting influences deteriorate and vanish away.

Individuals, who reject or neglect the regenerating influences of God's Word, the Christian Bible, soon drift downwards morally and spiritually, as well as physically, and eventually die.

James 1:15 [NIV] *“Then, after desire has conceived, it gives birth to sin; and sin, **when it is full-grown, gives birth to death.**”*

A spiritual death that follows the downward path of our biological decay.

This is absolutely contrary to the whole concept and philosophy of evolution.

We can scarcely conceive more diametrically opposed systems.

The two systems are alike in only one respect, both involve continual change.

One is a supposed upward change, the other an easily proved downward change.

No-one would question that change does occur.

New **varieties** within various **species** are developed by means of different types of biological mechanisms.

In most cases, however, these changes are definitely within narrow limits.

For example, all varieties of dogs remain inter-fertile and are still dogs.

The Mendelian laws of heredity provide for much variation due to genetic factors present in the chromosome structure of the germ cells of each species, but **always confined to that species.**

Quote from *'Patterns and Populations'* Paul R. Ehrlich and Richard W. Holm, Science Magazine, August 1962.

“The term species should only be retained in its original less restrictive sense of ‘kind’. There seems to be no reason why quantitative methods should not be used to study phonetic relationships [those based on similarity rather than imagined phylogeny] at what we now closely call the species level.”

Changes of this type have nothing much to do with what evolutionists consider to be true evolution.

They are merely reshuffling of genetic factors already present are not evolution.

Genesis 1:21, 24-25 [NIV] *“So God created the great creatures of the sea and every living and moving thing with which the water teems, **according to their kinds**, and every winged bird **according to its kind**. And God saw that it was good.” ... “And God said, “Let the land produce living creatures **according to their kinds**: livestock, creatures that move along the ground, and wild animals, **each according to its kind.**” And it was so. God made the wild animals **according to their kinds**, the livestock **according to their kinds**, and all the creatures that move along the ground **according to their kinds**. And God saw that it was good.”*

The only biological unit identified is called “*kind*” and they reproduced after the specific “*kind*”, by implication, these limits being those of inter-fertility.

Genesis 1:27 [NIV] *“Then God said, let us make **man in our image**, in our likeness...”*

Humanity was created in the God “*kind*” !!

Genesis 1:27 [NIV] continued “*and let them [male and female God “kind” humans] rule over the fish of the sea and the birds of the air, over the livestock, over all the earth, and all the creatures that move along the ground.*”

What does this tell us very plainly? –

1 Human beings are **not** an animal “*kind*” but a God “*kind*” and inter-fertility, both physical and in this case also spiritual is limited.

Humans **cannot** cross the “*kind*” line of limitation, so could **not** have evolved from any other “*kind*” [species] of animal created.

2 The human “*God kind*” is superior to all other “*kinds*” as they were created to rule over them all.

What about mutations?

Quote ‘*The Ideas of Biology*’ [Harper and Brothers, 1962]:

“[Mutation] is really the factor of fundamental importance [to evolution]. Since mutation means a chemical change in the gene structure, all progressive advancement [the heart of the evolution philosophy] must ultimately be by mutation, and all that can be done by recombination is to shuffle what is given by mutation. Gene mutation provides the raw material for evolution, and recombination sets this material out in different ways so that selection may be furthered by being provided with a whole series of possible arrangements.”

True mutations do occur, and these are heritable and may result in permanent change in a species.

But the important point is that these changes are fully in line with the universal law of deterioration, in fact that is exactly what such changes amount to.

A mutation is essentially a sudden and apparently random change in the genetic structure of the germ cell, brought about by penetration of the cell by radiation, a mutagenic chemical or some other **disorganising** agent.

There will be a change but in all probability, **not an improvement.**

Quotation ‘*Genetic Effects of Radiation*’ by James F. Crow:

*“Mutations and mutation rates have been studied in a wide variety of experimental plants and animals, and in humans there is one general result that clearly emerges: almost all **mutations are harmful**. The degree of harm ranges from mutant genes that kill their carrier, to those that cause only minor impairment. Even if we didn’t have a great deal of data on this point, we could still be quite sure **on theoretical grounds that mutations would usually be detrimental**. For a mutation is a random change of a highly organised, reasonably smoothly functioning living body. **A random change in a highly integrated system of chemical processes which constitute life is almost certain to impair it.**”*

Evolutionists are hard pressed to find any actually observed mutations, as distinguished from mere recombinations of genetic factors, which are helpful in the struggle for existence.

Occasionally a rare mutation, such as bacterial resistance to Penicillin, or other drugs, may accidentally result in improved ability to cope with changed environment.

It is these occasional “*helpful mutations*” occurring in artificially changed environments which are actually proposed by evolutionists as the biological mechanism accounting for the entire development of all living organisms through geologic time!

The hypothetical process of natural selection is supposed to act on these occasional mutations in such a way as to preserve those rare ones which are beneficial.

Actually, the more complex an organism, the less chance there is of a mutation being beneficial in any environment.

Mutations really are the perfect illustration of the second law of thermodynamics, which says that the natural tendency of all change is to create a greater degree of disorder and randomness.

Similarly, palientology reveals that practically every type of living creature in the present world has ancestors in the fossil record which are larger than their present day descendents.

For example, mammoths, cave bears, sabretooth tigers, giant bisons, dinosaurs, giant beavers, cockroaches, rhinos, etc.

In an attempt to sidestep the problems posed by the second law of thermodynamics, the theory of the “*steady state*” universe has been publicised in recent years.

Quote ‘*Man and Energy*’ by A.R. Ubbelohde:

“A recent suggestion is that for the universe considered as a whole that the law of entropy [second law of thermodynamics] increase is brought to a standstill by the ‘continuous creation’ of matter. The hypothesis of ‘continuous creation’ has in fact been introduced in the attempt to neutralise the law of entropy-trend on the cosmic scale.”

It must be clearly recognised that there **cannot** possibly be any observational or experimental basis in support of such a notion.

It is simply required by the assumption of a “*steady-state*” universe, with neither beginning or end.

Quotation ‘*Rationalism Verses Empiricism in Cosmology*’ Science, April 1961.

*“The temptation to substitute logic for observation is peculiarly hard to resist in astronomy. This is because astronomical data are hard to come by, and the data rapidly diminishes in number and accuracy as the objects we observe recede from the earth ... Nevertheless, **the fact that data may be scarce and inaccurate is no reason for failing to use them as our main guides in the formulation of theory** ... Once upon a time, British science was sometimes criticised for being too empirical. During the past 30 years a number of a priori theories of cosmology, of which the steady-state theory is one, have completely reverses the trend, which is a curious and unexpected development.”*

In conclusion the revealed Word of God is supported completely by all true science.

The evolutionary principle, as applied to present processes and events, is not only not valid but is essentially impossible.

The basic processes at the present time are provable scientifically those of conservation and deterioration **not** innovation and development as reported by evolutionists.